

EMBEDDING ℓ_∞ INTO THE SPACE OF ALL OPERATORS ON CERTAIN BANACH SPACES

G. ANDROULAKIS, K. BEANLAND*, S.J. DILWORTH, F. SANACORY*

ABSTRACT. We give sufficient conditions on a Banach space X which ensure that ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the space of all operators on X . We say that a basic sequence (e_n) is *quasisubsymmetric* if for any two increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , we have that (e_{k_n}) dominates (e_{ℓ_n}) . We prove that if a Banach space X has a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The famous open problem of whether there exists an infinite dimensional Banach space on which every (linear bounded) operator is a compact perturbation of a multiple of the identity, is attributed to S. Banach (see related papers [G], [GM], and [S]). One of the reasons that this problem has attracted a lot of attention is that if such a space X exists then by the results of [AS] or [L], X provides a positive solution to the Invariant Subspace Problem for Banach spaces, namely every operator on X has a non-trivial (i.e. different than zero and the whole space) invariant subspace. Notice that if a space X satisfies the assumptions of the above problem of Banach and if in addition X has the Approximation Property and separable dual then $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the space of all operators on X endowed with the usual operator norm, is separable. Thus if a reflexive Banach space X with a basis satisfies the assumptions of the above problem of Banach then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is separable. In this paper we provide sufficient conditions on a Banach space X which imply that ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ (which we denote by " $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ ") hence $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is non-separable. The question whether $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is non-separable for every infinite dimensional Banach space X , is a well known open problem.

In Section 2 we introduce the notion of a quasisubsymmetric basic sequence, give examples and prove our main results. We say that a basic sequence (e_n) is *quasisubsymmetric* if for any two increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , we have that (e_{k_n}) dominates (e_{ℓ_n}) . One of our main results (Theorem 2.4) is that if a Banach space X has a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Thus a reflexive Banach space X with a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis can not satisfy the assumptions of the above problem of Banach. Moreover, in Proposition 2.3 we prove that if a Banach space X has a seminormalized basis which dominates all of its subsequences then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is non-separable (we do not know whether $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ in this case). Finally we observe how our results provide sufficient conditions on a Banach space X which ensure that ℓ_1 embeds complementably into the space of nuclear operators of X .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46B28, Secondary: 46B03.

*The present paper is part of the Ph.D theses of the second and fourth author which are prepared at the University of South Carolina under the supervision of the first author.

In the rest of the section we review some known results about embedding ℓ_∞ into the space of operators. If X, Y are Banach spaces then denote by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ the space of all operators from X to Y and $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ the space of all compact operators from X to Y .

N.J. Kalton [K, proof of Theorem 6 (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)] proved that if X is an infinite dimensional separable Banach space, Y is any Banach space then:

- (a) if c_0 embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$,
- (b) if c_0 embeds in Y then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

Later M. Feder [F, page 201] noticed that by the result of B. Josefson [J] and A. Nissenzweig [N], the proof of Kalton for the above results works without the assumption that X is separable. Notice that the result (a) of Kalton as extended by Feder generalizes the result of C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski [BP] stating that if c_0 embeds in X^* then ℓ_∞ embeds in X^* .

A.E. Tong and D.R. Wilken [TW] proved that if X, Y are Banach spaces, Y has an unconditional basis and there is a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. The main focus of the paper of Tong and Wilken is the well known open problem of whether $\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ implies that $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. They prove that the answer is affirmative if Y has an unconditional basis.

A.E. Tong [T] proved that if X has an unconditional basis, Y is any Banach space, and there exists a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ then c_0 embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$. This result was generalized by Kalton [K] as follows: Let X be a Banach space with an unconditional finite dimensional expansion of the identity (i.e. there is a sequence $(A_n) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ of finite rank operators such that for every $x \in X$, $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n x$ unconditionally), and Y be any Banach space. If there is a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Moreover, the same assumptions imply that $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

G. Emmanuele [E2] proved the following result: Assume that X and Y satisfy one of the following two assumptions:

- (i) X is a $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ space and Y is a closed subspace of a $\mathcal{L}_1$ space, or
- (ii) $X = C[0, 1]$ and Y is a space with cotype 2.

If there is a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ then ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Moreover, under the same assumptions $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Related results are also in [E1].

2. QUASISUBSYMMETRIC SEQUENCES

In this section we introduce the notions, study, and give examples of basic quasisubsymmetric sequences. The main results are Theorems 2.4 and 2.9 and Corollary 2.10. Let c_{00} denote the linear space of finitely supported sequences. If (x_n) and (y_n) are two basic sequences in a Banach space and $C > 0$, we say that (x_n) C -dominates (y_n) if $\|\sum a_n y_n\| \leq C \|\sum a_n x_n\|$ for all $(a_n) \in c_{00}$. We say that (x_n) dominates (y_n) if (x_n) C -dominates (y_n) for some $C > 0$.

Definition 2.1. A basic sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in some Banach space X is said to be **quasisubsymmetric** if and only if for any two increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , we have that (e_{k_n}) dominates (e_{ℓ_n}) , i.e. there exists a constant $S_{(k_n), (\ell_n)} > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| \leq S_{(k_n), (\ell_n)} \left\| \sum a_n e_{k_n} \right\| \text{ for all } (a_n) \in c_{00}.$$

While in this definition the constant $S_{(k_n), (\ell_n)}$ depends on the two subsequences, the dependence can be removed if (e_n) is seminormalized as the next result shows.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (e_n) be a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basic sequence. Then there exists a constant $S > 0$ such that for any two increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , we have that*

$$(1) \quad \left\| \sum a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| \leq S \left\| \sum a_n e_{k_n} \right\| \text{ for all } (a_n) \in c_{00}.$$

Proof. We will assume, via contradiction, that for all $S > 0$ there exist (k_n) and (ℓ_n) increasing sequences of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , and there exists $(a_n) \in c_{00}$ for which (1) is not valid.

Claim: For every $S > 0$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $(a_n) \in c_{00}$ with $a_n = 0$ for all $n < N$ and there exist two increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , such that

$$\left\| \sum a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| > S \left\| \sum a_n e_{k_n} \right\|.$$

To prove this we fix S and N and let $\tilde{S} = S(1+B) + (N-1)2BD/d$, where B is the basis constant of the basic sequence (e_n) and $d \leq \|e_n\| \leq D$ for all n . By assumption there exists $(a_n) \in c_{00}$ and two increasing sequences (k_n) and (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $k_n \leq \ell_n$ for all n , such that

$$\left\| \sum a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| > \tilde{S} \left\| \sum a_n e_{k_n} \right\|.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $\left\| \sum a_n e_{k_n} \right\| = 1$. By the triangle inequality,

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n e_{k_n} \right\| \leq 1 + B.$$

Also,

$$(3) \quad |a_n| \leq 2B/d \text{ for all } n.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n e_{\ell_n} \right\| \\ &> \tilde{S} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{k_n} \right\| - (N-1)2BD/d \text{ (by (3))} \\ &\geq S(1+B) + (N-1)2BD/d - (N-1)2BD/d \\ &\geq S \left\| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n e_{k_n} \right\| \text{ (by (2))} \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the claim.

Let $N_1 = 1$ and $S_1 = 2$. By the claim there exists an $(a_n^{(1)}) \in c_{00}$ and two increasing sequences $(k_n^{(1)})$ and $(\ell_n^{(1)})$ of positive integers with $k_n^{(1)} \leq \ell_n^{(1)}$ for all n , such that

$$\left\| \sum a_n^{(1)} e_{\ell_n^{(1)}} \right\| > 2 \left\| \sum a_n^{(1)} e_{k_n^{(1)}} \right\| = 2.$$

Let $N_2 = \max(\ell_n^{(1)})$ where the maximum is taken over all n in the support of $(a_n^{(1)})$ and let $S_2 = 4$. By the claim there exists an $(a_n^{(2)}) \in c_{00}$ with $a_n^{(2)} = 0$ for all $n < N_2$ and two increasing sequences $(k_n^{(2)})$ and $(\ell_n^{(2)})$ of positive integers with $k_n^{(2)} \leq \ell_n^{(2)}$ for all n , such that

$$\left\| \sum a_n^{(2)} e_{\ell_n^{(2)}} \right\| > 4 \left\| \sum a_n^{(2)} e_{k_n^{(2)}} \right\| = 4.$$

Continue in this manner with $N_m = \max(\ell_n^{(m-1)})$ where the maximum is taken over all n in the support of $(a_n^{(m-1)})$ and let $S_m = 2^m$.

Let $a_n = a_n^{(m)}/2^m$, $k_n = k_n^{(m)}$ and $\ell_n = \ell_n^{(m)}$ for all $n \in [N_m, N_{m+1})$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\sum a_n e_{k_n}$ converges and has norm at most equal to 2. However $\sum a_n e_{\ell_n}$ does not converge, contradicting that (e_n) is quasisubsymmetric. $\square$

The authors do not know whether every seminormalized quasisubsymmetric sequence can be equivalently renormed so that the constant S in the statement of Lemma 2.2 can be taken to be equal to 1. If this is true then the proof of Theorem 2.4 can be slightly simplified.

Some examples of spaces with quasisubsymmetric basic sequences follow.

- (a) If any two subsequences of a basic sequence (e_n) are naturally isomorphic then (e_n) is quasisubsymmetric; (the examples (b) and (e) below satisfy this condition). In particular any subsymmetric sequence is quasisubsymmetric.
- (b) There are conditional quasisubsymmetric sequences, like the summing basis.
- (c) The sequence of the biorthogonal functionals of the basis of Schreier's¹ space is quasisubsymmetric. We say that a finite subset E of $\mathbb{N}$ is a **Schreier set** if the cardinality of E is less than or equal to the minimum of E . For $x = (x_k) \in c_{00}$ we define the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_{E \text{ is Schreier}} \sum_{k \in E} |x_k|.$$

Schreier's space is the completion of c_{00} equipped with this norm.

- (d) The sequence of the biorthogonal functionals of the basis of Tsirelson's¹ space [FJ] is quasisubsymmetric. There exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_{c_0}, \frac{1}{2} \sup \sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j x\| \right\}$$

for all $x \in c_{00}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{c_0}$ denotes the norm of c_0 and the supremum is taken over all sequences of sets $(E_j)_{j=1}^k$ such that $\max E_j < \min E_{j+1}$ and $(\min E_j)_{j=1}^k$ is a Schreier set. Also $E_j x$ denotes the natural projection of x on E_j . Tsirelson's space is the completion of c_{00} equipped with this norm.

- (e) The James' space has a boundedly complete basis when the norm is defined as

$$\|x\| = \sup \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{i=p_k}^{p_{k+1}-1} x_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where $x = (x_i)$ and the supremum is taken over all positive integers n and all sequences of integers such that $0 = p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_{n+1}$. Since the basis is boundedly complete [FG, page 50] $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow J$. Also it is conditional [FG, pages 49-50]. Obviously

¹An excellent resource for Schreier's space and Tsirelson's space is [CS].

every two subsequences of the basis are isometric. Thus our Theorem 2.4 gives an easy way to see $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(J)$.

One of our main results of this section is Theorem 2.4 which gives sufficient conditions on X for $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. If, however, we are only interested in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ being non separable, then the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 can be weakened as the next result shows.

Proposition 2.3. *If a Banach space X has a seminormalized basis which dominates its subsequences then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is non-separable.*

Proof. Let (e_n) be a seminormalized basis for X such that it dominates its subsequences and let C be its basis constant. If (k_n) is an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ then define $T_{(k_n)} : X \rightarrow X$ by $T_{(k_n)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^*(x) e_{k_n}$. By our assumption each $T_{(k_n)}$ is bounded, i.e. $T_{(k_n)} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Let $(k_n), (m_n)$ be two different increasing sequences in $\mathbb{N}$. Then there exists some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_j \neq m_j$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{(k_n)} - T_{(m_n)}\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(e_n^* \left(\frac{e_j}{\|e_j\|} \right) e_{k_n} - e_n^* \left(\frac{e_j}{\|e_j\|} \right) e_{m_n} \right) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\|e_j\|} \|e_{k_j} - e_{m_j}\| \geq \frac{\|e_{k_j} - e_{m_j}\|}{\sup_n \|e_n\|} > \frac{\inf_n \|e_n\|}{C \sup_n \|e_n\|} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now present our first main result.

Theorem 2.4. *If a Banach space X has a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis then $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

In the proof of Theorem 2.4, we will use the following remark due to Tong and Wilken [TW, Proposition 4] which is mentioned in the introduction.

Remark 2.5. *Let (w_n) be an unconditional basic sequence in a Banach space X , and assume that there exists a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X, [(w_n)])$. Then $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis for X . Since (e_n) is bounded, by the ℓ_1 theorem of H.P. Rosenthal [R] there exists a subsequence $(e_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is either equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 or it is weakly Cauchy.

In the first case, if (e_{k_n}) is K-equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 , observe that for all $(a_n) \in c_{00}$,

$$\frac{K}{S} \sum |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{S} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{k_n} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right\| \leq \sup_n \|e_n\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$$

where S is the constant provided by Lemma 2.2. Thus (e_n) is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 , hence it is an unconditional basis for X and therefore $(e_n^* \otimes e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 (where for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, $x^* \otimes x$ denotes the operator on X defined by $(x^* \otimes x)(y) = x^*(y)x$ for every $y \in X$).

In the second case we assume that $(e_{k_n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly Cauchy. Define the difference sequence (z_n) of (e_{k_n}) by $z_n = e_{k_{2n}} - e_{k_{2n-1}}$. Then $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null. Since (e_n) is a seminormalized basic sequence, we have that (z_n) is also a seminormalized basic sequence, say $0 < d \leq \|z_n\| \leq D < \infty$ for all n . We claim that there exists a subsequence of $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

which is unconditional. In order to prove this, we use an argument of A. Brunel and L. Sucheston [BS].

First by Mazur's theorem [W, Corollary II.A.5] there exist intervals of the natural numbers $I_1 < I_2 < \dots$ (where $I_k < I_j$ means $\max(I_k) < \min(I_j)$) and there exists $c_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i \in I_j} c_i = 1$ for all j , such that $\|\sum_{i \in I_j} c_i z_i\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

By taking a subsequence and renaming we can assume without loss of generality, that

$$(4) \quad \left\| \sum_{i \in I_n} c_i z_i \right\| < \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Let $(a_n) \in c_{00}$. Let F be a finite subsequence of positive integers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $m_n := \min I_n$. We will show

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F} a_n z_{m_n} \right\| \leq \left(S + \frac{C}{d} \right) \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n} \right\| \text{ for all } a_n \in c_{00}$$

where C is the basis constant of (z_n) and S is the constant provided by Lemma 2.2 for the basic sequence (e_n) .

First note since (e_n) is quasisubsymmetric that for all sequences (ℓ_n) of positive integers with $\ell_n \in I_n$ (for all n), and for all $(a_n) \in c_{00}$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{\ell_n} \right\| \leq S \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n} \right\|.$$

Let $(a_n) \in c_{00}$. Assume that $F = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s\}$. For any $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ with $i_n \in I_{t_n}$ for $n = 1, \dots, s$, define

$$V(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F} a_n z_{m_n} + \sum_{n=1}^s a_{t_n} z_{i_n}$$

Thus if we sum over all $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ with $i_n \in I_{t_n}$ for $n = 1, \dots, s$, we obtain

$$(6) \quad \sum c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_s} V(i_1, \dots, i_s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F} a_n z_{m_n} + \sum_{n=1}^s a_{t_n} \sum_{i \in I_{t_n}} c_i z_i$$

Apply Lemma 2.2 for $k_n = m_n$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_n = m_n$ if $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F$ and $\ell_n = i_n$ if $n \in F$, to obtain

$$\|V(i_1, \dots, i_s)\| \leq S \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n} \right\|.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad \left\| \sum_{i_n \in I_{t_n} \text{ for } n=1, \dots, s} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_s} V(i_1, \dots, i_s) \right\| &\leq \sum_{i_n \in I_{t_n} \text{ for } n=1, \dots, s} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_s} \|V(i_1, \dots, i_s)\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i_n \in I_{t_n} \text{ for } n=1, \dots, s} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_s} S \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n} \right\| \\
 &= S \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n} \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also note

$$(8) \quad |a_n|d \leq \|a_n z_{m_n}\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_{m_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i z_{m_i} \right\| \leq 2C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z_{m_i} \right\|.$$

Hence by (6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad \left\| \sum_{i_n \in I_{t_n} \text{ for } n=1, \dots, s} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_s} V(i_1, \dots, i_s) \right\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F} a_n z_{m_n} \right\| - \sum_{n=1}^s |a_{t_n}| \left\| \sum_{i \in I_{t_n}} c_i z_i \right\| \\
 &\geq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F} a_n z_{m_n} \right\| - \frac{2C}{d} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z_{m_i} \right\| \sum_{n=1}^s \frac{1}{2^{t_n}} \\
 &\quad \text{(by (4) and (8))} \\
 &\geq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F} a_n z_{m_n} \right\| - \frac{2C}{d} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n} \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

So by (7) and (9) we obtain (5) which proves that (z_{m_n}) is unconditional.

Let $P \in \mathcal{L}(X, [(z_{m_n})])$ be defined by

$$P \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_{m_n}.$$

Notice that P is bounded since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| P \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right) \right\| &\leq \|a_1 e_{k_{2m_1}} + a_2 e_{k_{2m_2}} + \cdots\| + \|a_1 e_{k_{2m_1-1}} + a_2 e_{k_{2m_2-1}} + \cdots\| \\
 &\leq 2S \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since P is a noncompact operator and (z_{m_n}) is unconditional, by Remark 2.5, we have $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. $\square$

By observing that for a Banach space X , the map from $\mathcal{L}(X)$ to $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ given by $T \mapsto T^*$, where T^* is the adjoint operator, is an isometric embedding, Theorem 2.4 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *If a Banach space X has a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis then $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^*)$.*

The proof of Theorem 2.4 gives the following two corollaries:

Corollary 2.7. *If $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basic sequence then either $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 or there exists a subsequence (e_{k_n}) such that $(e_{k_{2n}} - e_{k_{2n-1}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unconditional.*

Corollary 2.8. *If $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric weakly null basic sequence then there exists a subsequence (e_{k_n}) of (e_n) which is unconditional.*

Theorem 2.9. *If a Banach space X has a seminormalized basis (e_n) and the sequence (e_n^*) of the biorthogonal functionals is quasisubsymmetric then $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

Proof. By results of Kalton [K] mentioned in the introduction, it suffices to show that $c_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. By Corollary 2.7 we separate two cases:

If $(e_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 , we know that $X = [(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]$ is isomorphic to c_0 . Therefore $c_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$.

If $(e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unconditional, let K be its unconditionality constant and proceed by showing that $((e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*) \otimes e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 : For $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{00}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum a_n (e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*) \otimes e_n \right\| &= \left\| \left(\sum a_n (e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*) \otimes e_n \right)^* \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum a_n e_n \otimes (e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*) \right\| \text{ (where } e_n \text{ is considered in } X^{**}) \\
&\leq 2 \left\| \sum a_n e_n(x^*) (e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*) \right\| \text{ (for some } x^* \in [(e_n^*)], \|x^*\| \leq 1) \\
&\leq 2K \max |a_n| \left\| \sum e_n(x^*) (e_{k_{2n}}^* - e_{k_{2n-1}}^*) \right\| \\
&\leq 2K \max |a_n| \left(\left\| \sum e_n(x^*) e_{k_{2n}}^* \right\| + \left\| \sum e_n(x^*) e_{k_{2n-1}}^* \right\| \right) \\
&\leq 2K \max |a_n| \left(S_{(n), (k_{2n})} \left\| \sum e_n(x^*) e_n^* \right\| + S_{(n), (k_{2n-1})} \left\| \sum e_n(x^*) e_n^* \right\| \right) \\
&= 2K (S_{(n), (k_{2n})} + S_{(n), (k_{2n-1})}) \|x^*\| \max |a_n| \\
&\leq 2K (S_{(n), (k_{2n})} + S_{(n), (k_{2n-1})}) \max |a_n|.
\end{aligned}$$

Verifying the reverse inequality (with a different constant) is trivial and both inequalities together give us that $c_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.10. *If a Banach space X has a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis (e_n) then $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}([(e_n^*)])$, where (e_n^*) is the sequence of the biorthogonal functionals to (e_n) .*

Proof. Consider (e_n) in X^{**} : it is seminormalized, quasisubsymmetric and biorthogonal to (e_n^*) . Therefore by Theorem 2.9 we have $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}([(e_n^*)])$. $\square$

Finally we make some remarks related to the question of whether ℓ_1 embeds into the space of nuclear operators of a Banach space X . If X is a Banach space let $(\mathcal{N}(X), \nu(\cdot))$ denote the space of nuclear operators on X , namely

$$\mathcal{N}(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^* \otimes y_n \text{ where } x_n^* \in X^*, y_n \in X \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^*\| \|y_n\| < \infty\}$$

$$\nu(T) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^*\| \|y_n\| : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^* \otimes y_n \text{ is a representation of } T \right\}.$$

The following remark is well known:

Remark 2.11. *If X is a Banach space with the Approximation Property then $\mathcal{N}(X)^*$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ then the action of T as a functional on $\mathcal{N}(X)$ gives the trace of TS^* , $\text{tr}(TS^*)$, when it is applied to $S \in \mathcal{N}(X)$.*

Indeed, it is shown in [Ry, Proposition 4.6 (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii), cf. Corollary 4.8 (a)] that if the Banach space X has the Approximation Property then $\mathcal{N}(X)$ is naturally isometric to the projective tensor product $X^* \hat{\otimes} X$. Also in [Ry, page 24] it is shown that for any Banach space Y , $(Y^* \hat{\otimes} Y)^*$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}(Y^*)$, where for $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*)$, the action of T as a functional on $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^* \otimes y_i \in Y^* \hat{\otimes} Y$ gives $\sum_{i=1}^n (Ty_i^*)(y_i)$.

By Remark 2.11 we have that for a Banach space X with the Approximation Property, ℓ_1 embeds complementably in $\mathcal{N}(X)$ if and only if ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$.

The next remarks give sufficient conditions on a Banach space X which ensure that ℓ_1 embeds complementably into $\mathcal{N}(X)$. A similar result is provided by Tong [T, Theorem 1.5] who proved that for a dual Banach space X^* with an unconditional basis, there exists a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ if and only if ℓ_1 embeds in $X^* \hat{\otimes} X$ complementably.

Remark 2.12. *Let X be a Banach space which has the Approximation Property and assume that ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ complementably.*

Proof. Since the map from $\mathcal{L}(X)$ to $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ given by $T \mapsto T^*$ is an isometric embedding, we have that ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$. Hence by [BP] and Remark 2.11 we obtain that ℓ_1 embeds complementably in $\mathcal{N}(X)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.13. *If a Banach space X has a seminormalized quasisubsymmetric basis then $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ complementably.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.12. $\square$

Remark 2.14. *Let X be a Banach space which has the Approximation Property and contains an unconditional basic sequence (e_n) . Suppose that there exists a noncompact operator in $\mathcal{L}(X, [(e_n)])$. Then $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(X)$ complementably.*

Proof. By Remark 2.5 we have that ℓ_∞ embeds in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Now Remark 2.12 finishes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AS] Aroszajn, N. and Smith K.T. *Invariant subspaces of completely continuous operators*, Ann. of Math (2), **60** (1954), 345–350.
- [BP] Bessaga, C. and Pelczynski, A. *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach Spaces*, Studia Mathematica, **17**, (1958), 1751–164.
- [BS] Brunel, A. and Sucheston, L. *On sequences invariant under spreading in Banach spaces*, Probability in Banach spaces (Proc. First Internat. Conf., Oberwolfach, 1975), Lectures Notes in Math., Vol. 526, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, 21–31.

- [CS] Casazza, P.G. Shura, T.J. *Tsirelson's space*. With an appendix by J. Baker, O. Slotterbeck and R. Aron. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1363**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. viii + 204 pp. ISBN 3-540-50678-0.
- [E1] Emmanuele, G. *On the containment of c_0 by spaces of compact operator*. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **115** (1991), 177–184.
- [E2] Emmanuele, G. *A remark on the containment of c_0 in spaces of compact operators*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **111** (1992), no. 2, 331–335.
- [F] Feder, M. *On subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis and spaces of operators*. Illinois J. Math. **34** (1980), 196–205.
- [FG] Fetter, Helga; Gamboa de Buen, Berta. *The James forest*. With a foreword by Robert C. James and a prologue by Bernard Beauzamy. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **236**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. xii+255 pp. ISBN 0-521-58760-3
- [FJ] Figiel, T. Johnson, W. B. *A uniformly convex Banach space which contains no l_p* . Compositio Math. **29** (1974), 179–190.
- [G] Gowers, W.T. *A remark about the scalar-plus-compact problem*, Convex geometric analysis (Berkeley, CA, 1996), 111–115.
- [GM] Gowers, W.T. Maurey, B. *Banach spaces with small spaces of operators* Math. Ann. **307** (1997), no. 4, 543–568.
- [J] Josefson, B. *Weak sequential convergence in the dual of a Banach space does not imply norm convergence*, Ark. Mat. **13** (1975), 79–89.
- [K] Kalton, N.J. *Spaces of compact operators*. Math. Ann. **208** (1974), 267–278.
- [L] Lomonosov, V.I. *Invariant subspaces of the family of operators that commute with a completely continuous operator*. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **7** (1973), no. 3, 55–56.
- [N] Nissenzweig, A. *w^* sequential convergence*, Israel J. Math. **22** (1975), 266–272.
- [R] Rosenthal, H.P. *A characterization of Banach spaces containing l^1* . Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **71** (1974), 2411–2413.
- [Ry] Ryan, R.A. *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002. xiv+225 pp. ISBN: 1-85233-437-1.
- [S] Schlumprecht, Th. *How many operators exist on a Banach space?* Trends in Banach spaces and operator theory (Memphis, TN, 2001), 295–333, Contemp. Math., **321**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [T] Tong, A.E. *On the existence of noncompact bounded linear operators between certain Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **10** (1971), 451–456.
- [TW] Tong, A.E. and Wilken, D.R. *The uncomplemented subspace $K(E, F)$* , Studia Math. **37** (1971), 227–236.
- [W] Wojtaszczyk, P. *Banach spaces for analysts*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **25**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. xiv+382 pp. ISBN: 0-521-35618-0

Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208,

giorgis@math.sc.edu, beanland@math.sc.edu, dilworth@math.sc.edu, sanacory@math.sc.edu